

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/487,027	01/19/2000	Paul E. Di Mario	5333USA	2627	
75	90 01/24/2002				
John A O'Toole Esq			EXAMINER		
General Mills Post Office Box			HARMON, CHI	MON, CHRISTOPHER R	
Minneapolis, M	N 55440		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3721		
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/487,027	DI MARIO ET AL.	
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher R Harmon	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the control of	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of		6 1	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the content of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's     The state of Appeal was filed on Appellant's     The state of Appeal was filed on	•		
2. ■ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		от тте арреат.	
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below):	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by		,,,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	•	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-9,35 and 36.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 10-34.			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Exan	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	· //	
10. Other: Interview summary included.	S	Finaldir. Page Supervisory Patent E	examiner
6. Patent and Trademark Office		Group 3700	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/487,027

Application No.





Continuation of 2. NOTE: New issues have been raised including limitations regarding a support mechanism operably positioned relative to the box erecting apparatus and limitations regarding the first and second postions in claims 1 and 35.